



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		*		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,298	01/20/2000	. Olivier Lutz	3874-128 US	4242
7590 04/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Mary Kakefuda Esq.			KIM, JENNIFER M	
Mathews Collins Shepherd & Gould P.A. 100 Thanet Circle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 306			1617	
Princeton, NJ 08540			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) LUTZ ET AL. 09/488.298 **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** 1617 Jennifer Kim --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ____. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,7-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Sreenivasan Padmanabhan Supervisory Examiner

Art Unit 1617

10. Other:

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' invention as claimed is obviated by the prior art. Applicants argue that all compositions contemplated by Lambert require free a-tocopherol, per se, which is expressly excluded from the sope of the claims now pending. This is not persuasive because Applicants' invention too comprises free-a-tocopherol since Applicants' fail to recite the purity of tocoferol to be employed. The composition taught by Lambert et al. comprisies concentration of free a-tocopherol less than 1%, generally less than 0.5% (see column 22, lines 55-59). It is noted that commercially available tocoferal utilized in Applicants' invention comprises 1.5% of free tocopherol (See Sales Specification for Eastman, Vitamin E TPGS, NF grade). Declaration of Dr. Alakhov has been carefully considered but not persuasive because claimed invention comprises same active agent, same amounts of free a-tocopherol and they are drawn to same technical fields (constituted with utility of anticancer agent, same excipients, carriers etc.). Thus, the claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references.